

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	(G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,901	02/18/2004		Manfred R. Kuchnle	3483.1000-001	9060
21005	7590	03/18/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	, SMITH & RE	LE, HOA T		
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			1773	
				DATE MAR FD: 03/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/780,901	KUEHNLE ET AL.			
Since Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	H. T. Le	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timer within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	*				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
■9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage			
· ·					
Attachment(s)	÷				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Orman*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-7, 10, 13-25, 27, 29 and 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-8, 15, and 21-35 of U. S. Patent No. 10/780,896 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The examined claims and the conflicting claims are substantially identical except for the preamble. While the particle of the examined claims is ultraviolet absorbing, the particle of the conflicting claims is recited to be electromagnetic absorbing. However, because ultraviolet is a subclass of electromagnetic radiation; therefore, the particle

Art Unit: 1773

of the examined claims is inherently capable of electromagnetic absorbing within the ultraviolet range.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oldenburg et al (US 6,344,272).
- Claims 1 and 2: Oldenburg teaches a core/shell particle having a conductive shell and capable of electromagnetic absorbing. See col. 4, lines 63 to col. 5, line 16. Electromagnetic covers a wide spectrum that includes ultraviolet radiation; therefore, it's necessarily inherent that the particle taught by Oldenburg also capable of UV absorbing. In all materials, or at least conductive materials, that for a certain spectral band, there exists a dielectric constant whose imaginary part of the refractive index is larger than the real part, and thus results in a negative real part of the dielectric constant of the materials. Thus this limitation is inherently met. The refractive index of the core and the shell materials is not explicitly reported in the Oldenburg patent, but the shell materials as exemplified at col. 6, lines 1-10 possess a refractive index of at least 1.8.

Claims 3-6: See col. 5, lines 40-42 and 47-58; and col. 6, lines 10-12.

Claim 7: See col. 6, lines 1-10.

Art Unit: 1773

Claims 10 and 13: See col. 4, lines 27-30 and figures 1-6.

Claims 14-16: See rejections to claims 1-2 above. These are method claims, but only generic encapsulating step is recited. Thus all limitations of these claims are same as those of product claims 1-2.

Claims 17-19: See col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 16.

Claims 20-27: See col. 8, lines 15-48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldenburg (US 6,344,272) as applied to claims 1-33 above, and further in view of the discussion below.
- 2. Oldenburg teaches the claimed invention as discussed below. Oldenburg also suggests attaching embedding the particles in various surfaces and substrates (see col. 8, lines 50-57 and col. 9, lines 32-36). Though Oldenburg does not mention the specific structure of the substrate in which the particles are to be embedded or attached, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to do so because the substrate in which particles to be embedded is just a result-oriented variation.
- 3. References not relied upon are cited as art of interest.

Art Unit: 1773

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773